

Description	Deduction
Adequacy of the linear regression should have been discussed.	0.25
Choice of the systems as case studies has not been explained.	0.2
Discussion of the related work should have been more elaborate (more papers considered)	0.5
General issues: Bibliography and references	0.05
General issues: Spelling and grammar	0.05
LaTeX error	0.05
Methodology: GQM has not been applied	0.75
Methodology: GQM has not been applied but the choice of metrics is well motivated.	0.4
Methodology: Incomplete discussion of metrics aggregation	0.15
Methodology: Metrics aggregation. Sum as the aggregation technique is not meaningful for the metrics chosen.	0.2
Methodology: Metrics aggregation. The mean is used with no discussion of the metrics values' distribution.	0.35
Methodology: No discussion of additional evidence supporting the laws' assessment.	0.5
Methodology: No discussion of how presence or absence of work done to "maintain or reduce complexity" is assessed.	0.2
Methodology: the law of conservation of familiarity, no statistical analysis	0.25
Methodology: the law of increasing complexity, no statistical analysis	0.25
No conclusions	0.25
No discussion of related work	1.5
No discussion of the results	3
No discussion of threats to validity	0.5
Question	0.1
Time period considered in the analysis of the system chosen has not been motivated.	0.1
Time period considered in the analysis of the system chosen has not been stated.	0.1
Unsatisfactory discussion of threats to validity	0.25
Writing style. It is customary to restrict the use of the future tense to discussions of the future work and sometimes introductions.	0.05