

Description	Deduction
Changes in CyberNuxeo have not been related to mail archives or change logs	0.25
CyberNeko 0.9.5. Missing association	0.1
Discussion does not relate the findings with the design decisions.	0.5
External interfaces not excluded from the class diagram (CyberNeko)	0.1
Grammar, word choice and spelling	0.025
Internal classes with the same name have been merged.	0.6
Layout and presentation	0.025
Library classes not excluded from the class diagram.	0.3
Method return types are not extracted	0.1
No comparison of CyberNuxeo versions	1.5
No discussion of classes/relations present only in CyberNeko 0.9.5.	0.4
No discussion of the generics	0.25
No discussion of the tool performance (CyberNeko).	0.1
No discussion of the tool performance (eLib).	0.2
No discussion of the tool precision (CyberNeko).	0.1
No discussion of the tool precision (eLib).	0.2
No discussion of the visual quality of the diagrams produced (CyberNeko).	0.2
No discussion of the visual quality of the diagrams produced (eLib).	0.2
No distinction between static and non-static fields	0.1
Object-Flow Graph has not been implemented	2.5
Object-Flow Graph: one analysis direction only	0.2
Output: No discussion of cardinalities	0.15
Output: No discussion of named associations	0.15
Output: No discussion of roles	0.15
Question	0.1
Relating changes in CyberNuxeo to mail archives or change logs should be improved	0.1
Relating the findings with the design decisions should be improved.	0.1
The class diagram is not a name space, multiple classes with the same name.	0.4
Unsatisfactory comparison of CyberNuxeo versions	0.7
Unsatisfactory comparison of CyberNuxeo versions	1.4
Unsatisfactory comparison of CyberNuxeo versions (XercesBridge is not discussed).	0.4
Unsatisfactory discussion of the tool performance: "a few seconds" should have been made more precise.	0.2
Wrong statement	0.35