

Description	Deduction
Combined analysis should have been more elaborate	0.3
Combined analysis should have been more elaborate. The essence of the combined analysis would have been in making statements like "many requirements depend on requirement X and X suffers from underspecification and multiplicity. so ..."	1.5
Discussion of threats to validity should have been more elaborate. "Threats to validity are influences that may limit our ability to interpret or draw conclusions from the study's data." [Dewayne E. Perry, Adam A. Porter, Lawrence G. Votta: Empirical studies of software engineering: a roadmap. ICSE - Future of SE Track 2000: 345-355]	0.2
Introduction: research question should have been more specific	0.05
Misclassified quality evaluation	0.025
Missing dependency	0.2
Missing quality evaluation	0.05
No combined analysis. Combined analysis should have involved statements like "many requirements depend on requirement X and X suffers from underspecification and multiplicity. Hence, ..."	2
No discussion of threats to validity	0.7
Question	0.1
Redundant dependency	0.2
Traceability graph is present but the discussion of its structure is unsatisfactory. In fact, there is no separate discussion of the graph structure and a separate discussion of the combined analysis.	1.4
Unsatisfactory discussion of threats to validity. "Threats to validity are influences that may limit our ability to interpret or draw conclusions from the study's data." [Dewayne E. Perry, Adam A. Porter, Lawrence G. Votta: Empirical studies of software engineering: a roadmap. ICSE - Future of SE Track 2000: 345-355]	0.4
Unsupported quality evaluation	0.01
Visual representation of the traceability graph should be improved.	0.2
Wrong quality evaluation	0.1